

(i) Equality-constrained QP's $\begin{aligned}
& \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{2} x^{\mathsf{T}} Q x - c^{\mathsf{T}} x \quad \text{subject to} \\
& a_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} x = b_{i} \qquad i = 1, \dots, m
\end{aligned}$ or $\begin{aligned}
& \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{2} x^{\mathsf{T}} Q x - c^{\mathsf{T}} x \text{ subject to} \\
& A x = b
\end{aligned}$ where Q is symmetric, m < n and $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \vdots \\ a_{m}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{pmatrix}$. The Lagrangian is $\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^{\mathsf{T}} Q x - c^{\mathsf{T}} x - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} (a_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} x - b_{i}) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} x^{\mathsf{T}} Q x - c^{\mathsf{T}} x - \lambda^{\mathsf{T}} (A x - b)
\end{aligned}$ Eddie Wadbro, Introduction to PDE Constrained Optimization, February 15-16, 2016 $\begin{aligned}
& (2:17)
\end{aligned}$

KKT-points for equality-constrained QP's $KKT-point \nabla_{x}\mathcal{L}(x^{*},\lambda^{*}) = 0 \text{ yields the linear system}$ $Qx^{*} - A^{T}\lambda^{*} = c$ $Ax^{*} = b$ or $<math display="block">\begin{pmatrix} Q & -A^{T} \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^{*} \\ \lambda^{*} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ where $<math display="block">\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} Q & -A^{T} \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is called a KKT matrix. Write the constraint -Ax = -b, substitute B = -A. Then, the KKT matrix becomes symmetric: $\begin{pmatrix} Q & B^{T} \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^{*} \\ \lambda^{*} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ -b \end{pmatrix}$ Eddie Wadbro, Introduction to PDE Constrained Optimization, February 15-16, 2016 (3:17)



(ii) Inequality-constrained QP's $\begin{aligned}
& \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x \text{ subject to} \\
& Ax \ge b
\end{aligned}$ Lagrangian: $\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b)$ KKT conditions: $\begin{aligned}
& Qx^* - A^T \lambda^* = c \\
& \lambda^* \ge 0 \\
& Ax^* \ge b \\
& \lambda_i^* (a_i^T x^* - b_i) = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m
\end{aligned}$ Define the active set $\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T (Ax - b) \\
& \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x - c^T$

Optimality conditions for inequality-constrained QP's

- We may delete all inactive inequality constraints and corresponding zero Lagrange multipliers
- Let \overline{A} be A with all rows for $i \notin A$ deleted
- Let \overline{b} be b with all rows for $i \notin \mathcal{A}$ deleted
- Let $\overline{\lambda}^*$ be λ^* with all components for $i \notin \mathcal{A}$ deleted.

Then the KKT conditions simplify to

$$egin{aligned} Qx^* &- ar{A}^{\mathsf{T}}ar{\lambda}^* &= c\ ar{A}x^* &= ar{b} \end{aligned}$$

i. e. the KKT conditions for a QP with equality constraints

Note: The above form assume that \mathcal{A} is known (which it generally not is!)

Eddie Wadbro, Introduction to PDE Constrained Optimization, February 15–16, 2016

(6:17)

Background to active set method for inequality constrained QP

- An active-set method generates feasible points
- ► Assume that we know a feasible point x_k (can be obtained via a linear problem)
- Define a working set with constraints active at the current iterate

$$\mathcal{W}_k = \left\{ x \mid a_i^\mathsf{T} x_k = b_i \right\}$$

Guess that the constraints active at x are active at x* too. That is keep (temporarily) the constraints in W active and solve

min
$$\frac{1}{2}(x_k + p)^{\mathsf{T}}Q(x_k + p) + c^{\mathsf{T}}(x_k + p)$$
 (EQP)
subject to $A_{\mathcal{W}}p = 0,$

where $A_{\mathcal{W}}$ equals A with all rows for $i \notin \mathcal{W}_k$ deleted

Eddie Wadbro, Introduction to PDE Constrained Optimization, February 15-16, 2016

(7:17)

Active set for QP

Problem (EQP) has, from above, optimal solution p^* and associated Lagrange multiplier vector λ^* given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q & -A_{\mathcal{W}}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ -A_{\mathcal{W}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p^* \\ \lambda^* \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} Qx_k - c \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Optimal x associated with (EQP) is given by $x^* = x_k + p$.

When solving (EQP) we have ignored two things

- 1. All inactive constraints, that is, we must require $a_i^{\mathsf{T}} x \ge b_i$ for $i \notin \mathcal{W}$.
- 2. The constraints are inequalities, we have required $A_{\mathcal{W}}p = 0$ instead of $A_{\mathcal{W}}p \ge 0$.

How are these requirements included?

Eddie Wadbro, Introduction to PDE Constrained Optimization, February 15–16, 2016

(8:17)

Inclusion of new constraints

We have started in x_k and computed a search direction p^* .

If $A(x_k + p^*) \ge b$, then $x_k + p^*$ satisfies all constraints.

Otherwise, we can compute the maximum step-length α so that $A(x_k + \alpha p^*) \ge b$ holds. Thus, we compute

$$\alpha = \min_{i \mid a_i^\mathsf{T} p^* < 0} \frac{a_i^\mathsf{T} x_k - b_i}{-a_i^\mathsf{T} p^*},$$

define $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha p^*$, and set $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W} \cup \{I\}$; where $a_I^\mathsf{T} x_{k+1} = b_I$.

Removal of constraints

The point $x_k + p^*$ is of interest when $A(x_k + p^*) \ge b$.

When solving (EQP) we obtain p^* and λ^* .

Two cases:

1. $\lambda^* \geq 0$. Then $x^* = x_k + p^*$ is the optimal solution to

min
$$\frac{1}{2}x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx - c^{\mathsf{T}}x$$

subject to $A_{\mathcal{W}}x \ge b_{\mathcal{W}},$

and hence an optimal solution to the original inequality constrained QP!

2. $\lambda_i^* < 0$ for some *i*. Let $x_{k+1} = x_k + p^*$ and set $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W} \setminus \{i\}$.

Eddie Wadbro, Introduction to PDE Constrained Optimization, February 15–16, 2016

(10:17)

Sequential Quadratic Programming (SQP) • One of the most efficient methods for nonlinear programming. • Recommended as a general purpose method for small to medium scale problems. • (e.g. fmincon medium scale is a SQP) Consider the problem $\min_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n}} f(x) \qquad (NLP)$ s.t. g(x) = 0, where $f : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^m$, that is, $g = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \vdots \\ g_m \end{pmatrix}$. Eddie Wadbro, Introduction to PDE Constrained Optimization, February 15-16, 2016 (11 : 17)

Lagrangian and KKT-conditions

The Lagrangian of NLP is

$$\mathcal{L}(x,\lambda) = f(x) - \lambda^{\mathsf{T}}g(x) = f(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i g_i(x).$$

KKT-conditions

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_{x} f(x^{*}) - \sum \lambda_{i} \nabla_{x} g_{i}(x^{*}) = 0 \\ -g_{i}(x^{*}) = 0 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} \nabla_{x} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = 0 \\ \nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Thus solving the KKT-system is equivalent to solving

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(x,\lambda) = 0, \tag{OC}$$

where $\nabla = (\nabla_x, \nabla_\lambda)^{\mathsf{T}}$.

Eddie Wadbro, Introduction to PDE Constrained Optimization, February 15–16, 2016

(12:17)

Recall: Newtons method

For unconstrained optimization a necessary condition for minimum is $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$,

$$\nabla_{x} f(x_{k} + p_{k}) = [Taylor] \dots \approx \nabla_{x} f(x_{k}) + \nabla_{x}^{2} f(x_{k}) p_{k} = 0$$
$$\iff \quad \nabla_{x}^{2} f(x_{k}) p_{k} = -\nabla_{x} f(x_{k}) \quad (BN)$$

i.e. Newton's metod

Eddie Wadbro, Introduction to PDE Constrained Optimization, February 15–16, 2016

(13:17)

SQP

Do the same with (OC)

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(x_k + p_k, \lambda_k + \mu_k) = [Taylor] \dots$$
$$\approx \nabla \mathcal{L}(x_k, \lambda_k) + \nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(x_k, \lambda_k) \begin{pmatrix} p_k \\ \mu_k \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Thus to find our steps p_k and μ_k , we solve the system

$$\nabla^{2} \mathcal{L}(x_{k},\lambda_{k}) \begin{pmatrix} p_{k} \\ \mu_{k} \end{pmatrix} = -\nabla \mathcal{L}(x_{k},\lambda_{k}), \qquad (SQP)$$

where

$$\nabla^{2}\mathcal{L}(x_{k},\lambda_{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{x}^{2}\mathcal{L}(x_{k},\lambda_{k}) & -\nabla_{x}g(x_{k}) \\ -\nabla_{x}g(x_{k})^{\mathsf{T}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

 $\nabla_{\!\!x}g = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{\!\!x}g_1 & \nabla_{\!\!x}g_2 & \dots & \nabla_{\!\!x}g_m \end{pmatrix}.$

(SQP) is the basic SQP method (just as (BN) is the basic Newton).

Eddie Wadbro, Introduction to PDE Constrained Optimization, February 15-16, 2016

(14:17)

Properties of SQP

Similar properties as Newton's method: Quadratic convergence rate if

- (i) $\nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(x^*, \lambda^*)$ is nonsingular
- (ii) started close enough.

$$(\mathsf{SQP}) \iff \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x^2 \mathcal{L}(x_k, \lambda_k) & -\nabla_x g(x_k) \\ -\nabla_x g(x_k)^\mathsf{T} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_k \\ \mu_k \end{pmatrix} = -\nabla \mathcal{L}(x_k, \lambda_k),$$

optimality system to the QP

$$\min_{p} \quad \frac{1}{2} p^{\mathsf{T}} \nabla_{x}^{2} \mathcal{L}(x_{k}, \lambda_{k}) p + p^{\mathsf{T}} \nabla_{x} \mathcal{L}(x_{k}, \lambda_{k})$$

s.t.
$$\underbrace{\nabla_{x} g(x_{k})^{\mathsf{T}} p + g(x_{k})}_{\approx g(x_{k}+p)} = 0,$$

The minimization of a quadratic approximation of the Lagrangian subject to a linearization of the constraints (therefore the name SQP). Eddie Wadbro, Introduction to PDE Constrained Optimization, February 15–16, 2016 (15 : 17)

Modifications

Basic SQP benefits fro msimilar modifications as basic Newton

- (i) Newton direction is a descent direction for unconstrained optimization if Hessian (or the approximation) is PD.
 The QP has a unique min if ∇_x² L is PD in the nullspace of (∇_xg)^T. Need to ensure this...
- (ii) Unconstrained optimization: line search to ensure

$$f(x_k + \alpha_k p_k) \leq f(x_k) + \mu \alpha_k \underbrace{p_k^{\mathsf{T}} \nabla_{\!\!x} f(x_k)}_{\leq 0}.$$

For SQP, monitor prograss through a **merit function** φ , for example

$$\varphi(x) = f(x) + \frac{1}{2\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_i(x)^2$$

(quadratic penalty).

Eddie Wadbro, Introduction to PDE Constrained Optimization, February 15-16, 2016

(16:17)

Inequality constraints can be handled by linearizing them and then use an active set strategy in the QP subproblem.